

ASYMPTOTIC LINEARITY OF MULTIGRADED BETTI NUMBERS

AMIR BAGHERI, MARC CHARDIN, AND HUY TÀI HÀ

ABSTRACT. Let G be a finitely generated abelian group, and let $S = A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a G -graded polynomial ring over a commutative ring A . Let I_1, \dots, I_s be G -homogeneous ideals in S , and let M be a finitely generated G -graded S -module. We show that, when A is Noetherian, the nonzero G -graded Betti numbers of $MI_1^{t_1} \dots I_s^{t_s}$ exhibit an asymptotic linear behavior as the t_i s get large.

1. INTRODUCTION

It is a celebrated result (cf. [5, 12, 14]) that if $I \subseteq S$ is a homogeneous ideal in a standard \mathbb{N} -graded algebra and M is a finitely generated \mathbb{Z} -graded S -module then the regularity $\text{reg}(I^t M)$ is asymptotically a linear function for $t \gg 0$. This asymptotic linear function and the stabilization index have also been studied in [1, 4, 7, 8, 9].

In the case S is a polynomial ring over a field, a more precise result is proved in [5] : the maximal degree of an i -th syzygy of $I^t M$ is eventually a linear function of t . Our interest here is to understand the eventual behavior of the degrees of all the minimal generators of the i -th syzygy module. This is of particular interest when the grading is given by some finitely generated abelian group G that is not \mathbb{Z} , as in this case the result for the regularity of powers do not have an evident analogue. One can in particular consider the Cox ring of a toric variety, graded by the divisor class group.

We actually show, in the G -graded setting, that the collection of nonzero G -graded Betti numbers of $MI_1^{t_1} \dots I_s^{t_s}$ exhibits an asymptotic linear behavior as the t_i s get large.

Let us point out that, even when an explicit minimal free resolution of these powers is known, for instance when I is a complete intersection ideal, the degrees of i -th syzygies of I^t do not exhibit trivially a linear behavior.

Throughout the paper, let G be a finitely generated abelian group and let $S = A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a G -graded polynomial ring over a commutative ring A .

Our work hinges on the relationship between multigraded Betti numbers and the graded pieces of $\text{Tor}_i^S(MI_1^{t_1} \dots I_s^{t_s}, A)$; we, thus, examine the support of $\text{Tor}_i^S(MI_1^{t_1} \dots I_s^{t_s}, A)$ as the t_i s get large. Our main result, in the case of a single ideal, gives the following:

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13D45, 13D02.

Key words and phrases. Betti numbers, asymptotic linearity, multigraded.

Theorem 1.1 (see Theorem 4.6). *Let $I = (f_1, \dots, f_r)$ be a G -homogeneous S -ideal, and let M be a finitely generated G -graded S -module. Set $\Gamma := \{\deg_G(f_i)\}_{i=1}^r$.*

Let $\ell \geq 0$, and assume that $\ell = 0$ or A is Noetherian.

There exist a finite collection of elements $\delta_i \in G$, a finite collection of integers t_i , and a finite collection of non-empty tuples $E_i = (\gamma_{i,1}, \dots, \gamma_{i,s_i})$ of elements in Γ , such that the elements $(\gamma_{i,j+1} - \gamma_{i,j}, 1 \leq j \leq s_i - 1)$ are linearly independent, satisfying

$$\text{Supp}_G(\text{Tor}_\ell^S(MI^t, A)) = \bigcup_{i=1}^m \left(\bigcup_{\substack{c_1 + \dots + c_{s_i} = t - t_i, \\ c_1, \dots, c_{s_i} \in \mathbb{N}}} c_1 \gamma_{i,1} + \dots + c_{s_i} \gamma_{i,s_i} + \delta_i \right), \quad \forall t \geq \max_i \{t_i\}.$$

The condition of linear independence stated for E_i implies that $c_1 \gamma_{i,1} + \dots + c_{s_i} \gamma_{i,s_i} \neq c'_1 \gamma_{i,1} + \dots + c'_{s_i} \gamma_{i,s_i}$ if $c_1 + \dots + c_{s_i} = c'_1 + \dots + c'_{s_i}$ and $(c_1, \dots, c_{s_i}) \neq (c'_1, \dots, c'_{s_i})$. Notice the important fact that the elements in E_i are all in Γ , the set of degrees of generators of I .

Theorem 4.6 is proved in the last section of the paper. Our proof is based on the two following observations. Firstly, the multi-Rees module (sometimes also referred to as the Rees modification) $M\mathcal{R} = \bigoplus_{t_i \geq 0} MI_1^{t_1} \dots I_s^{t_s}$ is a $G \times \mathbb{Z}^s$ -graded module over the multi-Rees algebra $\mathcal{R} = \bigoplus_{t_i \geq 0} I_1^{t_1} \dots I_s^{t_s}$. Secondly, if G' is a finitely generated abelian group and $R = S[T_1, \dots, T_r]$ is a $G \times G'$ -graded polynomial extension of S , such that $\deg_{G \times G'}(a) = (\deg_G(a), 0)$ for any $a \in S$, then for a graded complex \mathbb{G}_\bullet of free R -modules,

$$H_i((\mathbb{G}_\bullet)_{G \times \{\delta\}} \otimes_S A) = H_i(\mathbb{G}_\bullet \otimes_S A)_{G \times \{\delta\}},$$

where $(\bullet)_{G \times \{\delta\}}$ denotes the degree $G \times \{\delta\}$ -strand of the corresponding complex. In particular, if \mathbb{G}_\bullet is a $G \times G'$ -graded free resolution of an R -module N , as $(\mathbb{G}_\bullet)_{G \times \{\delta\}}$ is a G -graded free resolution of the S -module $N_{G \times \{\delta\}}$, it follows that

$$\text{Tor}_i^S(N_{G \times \{\delta\}}, A) = H_i(\mathbb{G}_\bullet \otimes_S A)_{G \times \{\delta\}},$$

in which $\mathbb{G}_\bullet \otimes_S A$ is viewed as a $G \times G'$ -graded complex of free $A[T_1, \dots, T_r]$ -modules. These observations allow us to bring the problem to studying the support of graded $A[T_1, \dots, T_r]$ -modules. We proceed by making use of the notion of initial submodules to reduce to the case when the module is a quotient ring obtained by a monomial ideal. The result then follows by considering the Stanley decomposition of such a quotient ring.

In its full generality, our proof of Theorem 4.6 is quite technical, so we start in Section 3 by considering first the case when each I_i is equi-generated. In fact, in this case, with the additional assumption that A is a Noetherian ring, our results are much stronger; the asymptotic linearity appears clearer and the proofs are simpler. We can also examine the support of local cohomology modules of $MI_1^{t_1} \dots I_s^{t_s}$. Our results, in the case when each I_i is finitely generated in a single degree γ_i , are stated as follows.

Theorem 1.2 (Theorem 3.3). *Assume that $i = 0$ or A is Noetherian. Then there exists a finite set $\Delta_i \subseteq G$ such that*

- (1) For all $t = (t_1, \dots, t_s) \in \mathbb{N}^s$, $\text{Tor}_i^S(MI_1^{t_1} \cdots I_s^{t_s}, A)_\eta = 0$ if $\eta \notin \Delta_i + t_1\gamma_1 + \cdots + t_s\gamma_s$.
- (2) There exists a subset $\Delta'_i \subset \Delta_i$ such that $\text{Tor}_i^S(MI_1^{t_1} \cdots I_s^{t_s}, A)_{\eta+t_1\gamma_1+\cdots+t_s\gamma_s} \neq 0$ for $t \gg 0$ and $\eta \in \Delta'_i$, and $\text{Tor}_i^S(MI_1^{t_1} \cdots I_s^{t_s}, A)_{\eta+t_1\gamma_1+\cdots+t_s\gamma_s} = 0$ for $t \gg 0$ and $\eta \notin \Delta'_i$.
- (3) Let $A \rightarrow k$ be a ring homomorphism to a field k . Then for any δ and any j , the function

$$\dim_k \text{Tor}_j^A(\text{Tor}_i^S(MI_1^{t_1} \cdots I_s^{t_s}, A)_{\delta+t_1\gamma_1+\cdots+t_s\gamma_s}, k)$$

is polynomial in the t_i s for $t \gg 0$, and the function

$$\dim_k \text{Tor}_i^S(MI_1^{t_1} \cdots I_s^{t_s}, k)_{\delta+t_1\gamma_1+\cdots+t_s\gamma_s}$$

is polynomial in the t_i s for $t \gg 0$.

Theorem 1.3 (Theorem 3.4). *Let \mathfrak{b} be a G -homogeneous ideal in S such that for any $i \geq 0$ and $\delta \in G$, $H_{\mathfrak{b}}^i(S)_\delta$ is a finitely generated A -module. Then, if A is Noetherian, for $i \geq 0$, there exists a subset $\Lambda_i \subseteq G$ such that*

- (1) $H_{\mathfrak{b}}^i(MI_1^{t_1} \cdots I_s^{t_s})_{\eta+t_1\gamma_1+\cdots+t_s\gamma_s} \neq 0$ for $t = (t_1, \dots, t_s) \gg 0$ if only if $\eta \in \Lambda_i$.
- (2) Let $A \rightarrow k$ be a ring homomorphism to a field k . Then for any δ and any j , the function

$$\dim_k \text{Tor}_j^A(H_{\mathfrak{b}}^i(MI_1^{t_1} \cdots I_s^{t_s})_{\delta+t_1\gamma_1+\cdots+t_s\gamma_s}, k)$$

is a polynomial in the t_i s for $t \gg 0$.

While writing this paper, we were informed by Whieldon that in her recent work [15], a similar result to Theorem 1.2 was obtained for $s = 1$, A a field and S standard \mathbb{N} -graded. In the general setting, when A is not necessarily a field and S is not necessarily standard graded, the problem is more subtle, and requires more work and a different approach.

We choose not to restrict to a Noetherian base ring in all our results as it seemed to us that it makes the presentation more clear to put this hypothesis only in the statements where it is of use in the proof.

Acknowledgement. Our work was done when the third named author visited the other authors at the Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu, UPMC. The authors would like to thank the Institut for its support and hospitality. The third named author is partially supported by NSA grant H98230-11-1-0165.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we collect necessary notations and terminology used in the paper, and prove a few auxiliary results. For basic facts in commutative algebra, we refer the reader to [6, 13].

From now on, G denotes a finitely generated abelian group, $S = A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is a G -graded algebra over a commutative ring A with identity, and M is a G -graded S -module. By abusing notation, we shall use 0 to denote the identity of all abelian groups considered in the paper; the particular group will be understood from the context of its use.

Definition 2.1. Let $E \subseteq G$ be a collection of elements in G . We say that E is a *linearly independent* subset of G if E forms a basis for a free submonoid of G .

Definition 2.2. The *support* of M in G is defined to be

$$\text{Supp}_G(M) = \{\gamma \in G \mid M_\gamma \neq 0\}.$$

Remark 2.3. When A is a field, let \mathbb{F}_\bullet be a minimal G -graded free resolution of M over S , where

$$\mathbb{F}_i = \bigoplus_{\eta \in G} S(-\eta)^{\beta_\eta^i(M)}.$$

The numbers $\beta_\eta^i(M)$ are called the *multigraded (or G -graded) Betti numbers* of M and

$$\beta_\eta^i(M) = \dim_A \text{Tor}_i^S(M, A)_\eta$$

as, by definition, the maps in $\mathbb{F}_\bullet \otimes_S A$ are zero maps.

More generally, we shall prove the following lemma relating the multigraded Betti numbers of M and the support of $\text{Tor}_*^S(M, A)$.

Lemma 2.4. *Let \mathbb{F}_\bullet be a G -graded free resolution of a G -graded S -module M . Then*

- (1) \mathbb{F}_i has a summand $S(-\gamma)$ for any $\gamma \in \text{Supp}_G(\text{Tor}_i^S(M, A))$.
- (2) Assume that there exists $\phi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(G, \mathbb{R})$ such that $\phi(\deg(x_i)) > 0$ for all i and M is finitely generated. Then there exists a G -graded free resolution \mathbb{F}'_\bullet of M such that

$$\mathbb{F}'_i = \bigoplus_{\ell \in E'_i} S(-\gamma_{i,\ell}) \quad \text{with} \quad \gamma_{i,\ell} \in \bigcup_{j \leq i} \text{Supp}_G(\text{Tor}_j^S(M, A)), \quad \forall \ell.$$

Notice that, without further restrictions on A and/or M one cannot in general choose \mathbb{F}'_i so that $\gamma_{i,\ell} \in \text{Supp}_G(\text{Tor}_i^S(M, A))$, $\forall \ell$.

Proof. For (1), let K be defined by the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

and notice that $\mathbb{F}_0 \otimes_S A \rightarrow M \otimes_S A$ is onto. As $(\mathbb{F}_0 \otimes_S A)_\gamma \neq 0$ if and only if $S(-\gamma)$ is a direct summand of \mathbb{F}_0 , the result holds for $i = 0$. Furthermore, $\cdots \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_1 \rightarrow K \rightarrow 0$ is a resolution of K , $\text{Tor}_1^S(M, A)$ is a graded submodule of $K \otimes_S A$ and $\text{Tor}_i^S(M, A) \simeq \text{Tor}_{i-1}^S(K, A)$ for $i \geq 2$, which implies the result by induction on i .

To prove the second statement, we relax the finite generation of M by the following condition, which will enable us to make induction : $\exists q \in \mathbb{R}$, $\phi(\deg a) > q$, $\forall a \in M$. Notice that if a module satisfies this condition, any of its submodules satisfies the same condition.

Set $T_j := \text{Supp}_G(\text{Tor}_j^S(M, A))$. Let $\psi : \mathbb{F}_0 = \bigoplus_{\ell \in E_0} S(-\gamma_{0,\ell}) \rightarrow M$ be the augmentation and $E'_0 := \{\ell \in E_0 \mid \gamma_{0,\ell} \in T_0\}$. Denote by ψ' the restriction of ψ to $\mathbb{F}'_0 := \bigoplus_{\ell \in E'_0} S(-\gamma_{0,\ell})$.

We now prove that ψ' is onto. First notice that $\psi' \otimes_S A$ is surjective. Assume that ψ' is not surjective, let M' be the image of ψ' and

$$h := \inf\{\phi(\gamma), M_\gamma \neq M'_\gamma\} \geq \inf\{\phi(\deg(a)), a \in M\} \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Set $\epsilon := \min\{\phi(\deg(x_i)), i = 1, \dots, n\} > 0$ and choose $m \in M_\nu \setminus M'_\nu$ for some ν with $h \leq \phi(\nu) < h + \epsilon$. As $\psi' \otimes_S A$ is onto, there exists $m' \in M'$ such that m is of the form $m' + \sum_{i=1}^n m_i x_i$. Now, for some value i we have $m_i \notin M'$. It then follows that $\phi(\deg(m_i)) = \phi(\nu) - \phi(\deg(x_i)) < h$, contradicting the definition of h .

We will now prove (2) by induction on i . To end this, assume that there exists a graded complex

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}'_i \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{F}'_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

with at most non zero homology in homological degree $i \geq 0$, and such that $\mathbb{F}'_i = \bigoplus_{\ell \in E'_i} S(-\gamma_{i,\ell})$ with $\gamma_{i,\ell} \in \bigcup_{j \leq i} T_j$.

If the complex is exact, our claim is proved; otherwise, by setting $K_i \subset \mathbb{F}'_i$ for the i -th homology module of the complex and $Q_i := \ker(\mathbb{F}'_i \otimes_S A \rightarrow \mathbb{F}'_{i-1} \otimes_S A)$, one has

$$\text{Supp}_G(K_i \otimes_S A) = \text{Supp}_G(\text{Tor}_{i+1}^S(M, A)) \cup \text{Supp}_G(\ker(Q_i \rightarrow \text{Tor}_i^S(M, A))).$$

Applying the argument above to a graded onto map $\mathbb{F} \rightarrow K_i$, and using that by induction

$$\text{Supp}_G(K_i \otimes_S A) \subseteq T_{i+1} \cup \text{Supp}_G(\mathbb{F}'_i \otimes_S A) \subseteq \bigcup_{j \leq i+1} T_j$$

one obtains a graded free S -module \mathbb{F}'_{i+1} as claimed mapping onto K_i . \square

Let $t = (t_1, \dots, t_s) \in \mathbb{Z}^s$. We shall write $t \geq 0$ (respectively, $t > 0$, $t \leq 0$ and $t \neq 0$) if $t_i \geq 0$ (respectively, $t_i > 0$, $t_i \leq 0$ and $t_i \neq 0$) for all $i = 1, \dots, s$. For a property that depends on a parameter $t \in \mathbb{Z}^s$, one says that *the property holds for $t \gg 0$* if there exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^s$ such that it holds for $t \in t_0 + \mathbb{N}^s$. The following semi-classical lemma will be of use.

Lemma 2.5. *Let R be a finitely generated \mathbb{N}^s -graded algebra over a commutative ring A . Let M be a finitely generated \mathbb{Z}^s -graded R -module. Then either $M_t = 0$ for $t \gg 0$ or $M_t \neq 0$ for $t \gg 0$.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{b} = R_{\mathbb{Z}_{>0}^s}$ be the ideal generated by elements of strictly positive degrees. If $M \neq H_{\mathfrak{b}}^0(M)$ one has $M_t \neq 0$ for any $t \in t_0 + \mathbb{N}^s$, where t_0 is the degree of a non zero element in $M/H_{\mathfrak{b}}^0(M)$.

If $M = H_{\mathfrak{b}}^0(M)$ then any generator a of M spans a submodule of M that is zero in degrees $\deg(a) + b_a(1, \dots, 1) + \mathbb{N}^s$, where b_a is such that for any product p of b_a elements among the finitely many generators of the R -ideal \mathfrak{b} , one has $pa = 0$. As M is finitely generated, the result follows. \square

We shall make use of the notion of *initial modules* with respect to a monomial order. This is a natural extension of the familiar notion of initial ideals in a polynomial ring. Let F be a free S -module. We can write $F = \bigoplus_{i \in I} S e_i$. A *monomial* in F is of the form $\mathbf{x}^\alpha e_i$, where

\mathbf{x}^α is a monomial in S and $i \in I$. A *monomial order* in F is a total order, say \prec , on the monomials of F satisfying the following condition:

if $u \prec v$ and $w \neq 1$ is a monomial in S then $u \prec uw \prec vw$.

It can be seen that \prec is a well ordering, i.e., every non-empty subset of the monomials in F has a minimal element. We refer the reader to [6, 15.2] for more details on monomial orders on free modules.

Definition 2.6. Let F be a free S -module, and let K be an S -submodule of F . Let \prec be a monomial order in F . The *initial module* of K , denoted by $\text{in}_\prec(K)$, is defined to be the S -submodule of F generated by

$$\{\mathbf{x}^\alpha e_i \mid \exists f = \mathbf{x}^\alpha e_i + \text{smaller terms} \in K\}.$$

Proposition 2.7. Let F be a free G -graded S -module, and let K be a G -graded S -submodule of F . Let \prec be a monomial order in F . Then $\text{in}_\prec(K)$ is a G -graded S -module of F , and

$$\text{Supp}_G(F/K) = \text{Supp}_G(F/\text{in}_\prec(K)).$$

Proof. It is clear from the definition that $\text{in}_\prec(K)$ is a G -graded S -module. To prove the proposition, we need to show that for any $\mu \in G$, $K_\mu = F_\mu$ if and only if $\text{in}_\prec(K)_\mu = F_\mu$.

Clearly, if $K_\mu = F_\mu$ then all monomials of degree μ in F are elements of K , and thus, are elements of $\text{in}_\prec(K)$. Therefore, in this case, $\text{in}_\prec(K)_\mu = F_\mu$.

Suppose now that $\text{in}_\prec(K)_\mu = F_\mu$. Let $\mathbf{x}^\alpha e_i$ be the smallest monomial of degree μ in F but not in K , if it exists. Then $\mathbf{x}^\alpha e_i \in \text{in}_\prec(K)_\mu$. Thus, there exists an element $f \in K$ of the form

$$f = \mathbf{x}^\alpha e_i + g,$$

where g consists of monomials that are smaller than $\mathbf{x}^\alpha e_i$ with respect to \prec . Since K is a G -graded S -module, we can choose f to be G -homogeneous of degree μ . That is, all its monomials are of degree μ . This implies that all monomials, and thus, all terms in g are elements in K . In particular, $g \in K$. Therefore, $\mathbf{x}^\alpha e_i = f - g \in K$, a contradiction. Hence, $F_\mu = K_\mu$. The proposition is proved. \square

One of our techniques is to take the collection of elements of certain degree from a complex. This construction gives what we shall call *strands* of the complex.

Definition 2.8. Let \mathbb{F}_\bullet be a G -graded complex of S -modules and let $\Gamma \subseteq G$. The Γ -*strand* of \mathbb{F}_\bullet , often denoted by $(\mathbb{F}_\bullet)_\Gamma$, is obtained by taking elements of degrees belonging to Γ in \mathbb{F}_\bullet and the boundary maps between these elements (since the complex is graded, the boundary maps are of degree 0). In particular, if $F = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in G} F_\gamma$ is a G -graded S -module, then $F_\Gamma := \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} F_\gamma$. Note that the degree Γ -strand of a complex/module is not necessarily a complex/module over S .

3. FORMS OF THE SAME DEGREE

In this section, we consider the case when every ideal I_i is generated in a single degree. That is, when $\deg_G(f_{i,j}) = \gamma_i$ for all j . We keep the notations of Section 2.

Let G' denote a finitely generated abelian group. The following result, with $G' = \mathbb{Z}^s$, will be a key ingredient of our proof.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $R = S[T_1, \dots, T_r]$ be a $G \times G'$ -graded polynomial extension of S with $\deg_{G \times G'}(a) \in G \times 0$ for all $a \in S$ and $\deg_{G \times G'}(T_j) \in 0 \times G'$ for all j . Let \mathbb{M} be a finitely generated $G \times G'$ -graded R -module and let i be an integer. Assume that $i = 0$ or A is a Noetherian ring. Then*

- (1) *There exists a finite subset $\Delta_i \subseteq G$ such that, for any t , $\mathrm{Tor}_i^S(\mathbb{M}_{(*,t)}, A)_\delta = 0$ for all $\delta \notin \Delta_i$.*
- (2) *For $\delta \in \Delta_i$, $\mathrm{Tor}_i^S(\mathbb{M}_{(*,t)}, A)_\delta = 0$ for $t \gg 0$ or $\mathrm{Tor}_i^S(\mathbb{M}_{(*,t)}, A)_\delta \neq 0$ for $t \gg 0$. If, furthermore, $A \rightarrow k$ is a ring homomorphism to a field k , then for any j , the function*

$$\dim_k \mathrm{Tor}_j^A(\mathrm{Tor}_i^S(\mathbb{M}_{(*,t)}, A)_\delta, k)$$

is polynomial in the t_i s for $t \gg 0$, and the function

$$\dim_k \mathrm{Tor}_i^S(\mathbb{M}_{(*,t)}, k)_\delta$$

is polynomial in the t_i s for $t \gg 0$.

Proof. Let \mathbb{F}_\bullet be a graded free resolution of \mathbb{M} over R , where $\mathbb{F}_i = \bigoplus_{\eta,j} R(-\eta, -j)^{\beta_{\eta,j}^i}$ is of finite rank for $i = 0$, and for any i when A is Noetherian. For $t \in G'$, the $(*, t)$ -strand of \mathbb{F}_\bullet , denoted by \mathbb{F}_\bullet^t , is a G -graded free resolution of $\mathbb{M}_{(*,t)}$ over $S = R_{(*,0)}$, that is not necessarily minimal. Its i -th term is

$$\mathbb{F}_i^t = \bigoplus_{\eta,j} S(-\eta)^{\beta_{\eta,j}^i} \otimes_A B_{t-j},$$

where $B = A[T_1, \dots, T_r]$.

Let $\Delta_i = \{\eta \mid \exists j : \beta_{\eta,j}^i \neq 0\}$. The module $\mathrm{Tor}_i^S(\mathbb{M}_{(*,t)}, A) = H_i(\mathbb{F}_\bullet^t \otimes_S A)$ is a subquotient of the module $\bigoplus_{\eta,j} A(-\eta)^{\beta_{\eta,j}^i} \otimes_A B_{t-j}$, and (1) is proved.

To prove (2), observe first that $\mathrm{Tor}_i^S(\mathbb{M}_{(*,t)}, A)_\delta = H_i(\mathbb{F}_\bullet^t \otimes_S A)_\delta$ and $(A(-\eta) \otimes_A B_{t-j})_\delta = A_{\delta-\eta} \otimes_A B_{t-j}$ is zero if $\eta \neq \delta$. Thus, $H_i(\mathbb{F}_\bullet^t \otimes_S A)_\delta$ is equal to $H_i(\mathbb{F}_\bullet^{[\delta]} \otimes_S A)_t$, where $\mathbb{F}_\bullet^{[\delta]}$ is the subcomplex of \mathbb{F}_\bullet given by

$$\mathbb{F}_i^{[\delta]} = \bigoplus_j R(-\delta, -j)^{\beta_{\delta,j}^i} = \bigoplus_j [S(-\delta) \otimes_A B(-j)]^{\beta_{\delta,j}^i}.$$

As $\mathbb{F}_\bullet^{[\delta]} \otimes_S A$ is a graded complex of finitely generated B -modules, $H_i(\mathbb{F}_\bullet^{[\delta]} \otimes_S A)$ is a finitely generated B -module for any i when A is Noetherian. Similarly, $\mathrm{Tor}_i^S(\mathbb{M}_{(*,t)}, k)_\delta =$

$H_i(\mathbb{F}_\bullet^t \otimes_S k)_\delta = H_i(\mathbb{F}_\bullet^{[\delta]} \otimes_S k)$ is a finitely generated $k[T_1, \dots, T_r]$ -module for any i when A is Noetherian.

This proves (2) in view of Lemma 2.5 and [11, Theorem 1]. \square

Remark 3.2. In the context of point (2) above, there is a graded spectral sequence of graded B -modules with second term $E_{j,i}^2(t) = \text{Tor}_j^A(\text{Tor}_i^S(\mathbb{M}_{(*,t)}, A)_\delta, k)$ that converges to $\text{Tor}_{i+j}^S(\mathbb{M}_{(*,t)}, k)_\delta$. It follows that all terms $E_{j,i}^p(t)$ for $p \geq 2$ are finitely generated $k[T_1, \dots, T_r]$ -modules. In particular, one can write :

$$\dim_k \text{Tor}_\ell^S(\mathbb{M}_{(*,t)}, k)_\delta = \sum_{i+j=\ell} \dim_k E_{j,i}^\infty(t) \leq \sum_{i+j=\ell} \dim_k E_{j,i}^p(t), \quad \forall p \geq 2,$$

which provides a control on the Hilbert function (and polynomial) of $\text{Tor}_\ell^S(\mathbb{M}, k)_\delta$ in terms of the ones of $\text{Tor}_j^A(\text{Tor}_i^S(\mathbb{M}, A)_\delta, k)$ for $i+j=\ell$.

We are now ready to examine the asymptotic linear behavior of nonzero G -graded Betti numbers and non-vanishing degrees of local cohomology modules of $MI_1^{t_1} \dots I_s^{t_s}$.

In the next two theorems, let $R = S[T_{i,j} \mid 1 \leq i \leq s, 1 \leq j \leq r_i]$. Then R is equipped with a $G \times \mathbb{Z}^s$ -graded structure in which $\deg_{G \times \mathbb{Z}^s}(x_i) = (\deg_G(x_i), 0)$ and $\deg_{G \times \mathbb{Z}^s}(T_{i,j}) = (0, e_i)$, where e_i is the i -th element in the canonical basis of \mathbb{Z}^s . Recall that $\gamma_i = \deg_G(f_{i,j})$ and let $\gamma := (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_s)$. For $t = (t_1, \dots, t_s) \in \mathbb{Z}^s$, let $I^t := I_1^{t_1} \dots I_s^{t_s}$, $T^t := T_1^{t_1} \dots T_s^{t_s}$, $I^t T^t(\gamma.t) := I_1^{t_1}(t_1 \gamma_1) T_1^{t_1} \dots I_s^{t_s}(t_s \gamma_s) T_s^{t_s}$ and $MI^t T^t(\gamma.t) := MI_1^{t_1}(t_1 \gamma_1) T_1^{t_1} \dots I_s^{t_s}(t_s \gamma_s) T_s^{t_s}$.

Theorem 3.3. Assume that $i = 0$ or A is Noetherian. Then there exists a finite set $\Delta_i \subseteq G$ such that

- (1) For all $t \in \mathbb{N}^s$, $\text{Tor}_i^S(MI_1^{t_1} \dots I_s^{t_s}, A)_\eta = 0$ if $\eta \notin \Delta_i + t_1 \gamma_1 + \dots + t_s \gamma_s$.
- (2) There exists a subset $\Delta'_i \subset \Delta_i$ such that $\text{Tor}_i^S(MI_1^{t_1} \dots I_s^{t_s}, A)_{\eta+t_1 \gamma_1 + \dots + t_s \gamma_s} \neq 0$ for $t \gg 0$ and $\eta \in \Delta'_i$ and $\text{Tor}_i^S(MI_1^{t_1} \dots I_s^{t_s}, A)_{\eta+t_1 \gamma_1 + \dots + t_s \gamma_s} = 0$ for $t \gg 0$ and $\eta \notin \Delta'_i$.
- (3) If, furthermore, $A \rightarrow k$ is a ring homomorphism to a field k , then for any δ and any j , the function

$$\dim_k \text{Tor}_j^A(\text{Tor}_i^S(MI_1^{t_1} \dots I_s^{t_s}, A)_{\delta+t_1 \gamma_1 + \dots + t_s \gamma_s}, k)$$

is polynomial in the t_i s for $t \gg 0$, and the function

$$\dim_k \text{Tor}_i^S(MI_1^{t_1} \dots I_s^{t_s}, k)_{\delta+t_1 \gamma_1 + \dots + t_s \gamma_s}$$

is polynomial in the t_i s for $t \gg 0$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{R} := \bigoplus_{t \geq 0} I^t T^t(\gamma.t)$ and $M\mathcal{R} := \bigoplus_{t \geq 0} MI^t T^t(\gamma.t)$ denote the (shifted) multi-Rees algebra and the multi-Rees module with respect to I_1, \dots, I_s , and M . The natural surjective map $\phi : R \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{R}$ that sends x_i to x_i and $T_{i,j}$ to $f_{i,j} T_i$ makes $M\mathcal{R}$ a finitely generated $G \times \mathbb{Z}^s$ -graded module over R .

Observe that, for any $\delta \in G$ and $t \in \mathbb{Z}^s$, $M\mathcal{R}_{(\delta,t)} \simeq [MI^t(\gamma.t)]_\delta = [MI^t]_{\delta+\gamma.t}$, where in the last term $\delta + \gamma.t := \delta + t_1\gamma_1 + \cdots + t_s\gamma_s$. Thus, the assertion follows by applying Theorem 3.1 to the R -module $\mathbb{M} := M\mathcal{R}$. \square

Theorem 3.4. *Let \mathfrak{b} be a G -homogeneous ideal in S such that for any $i \geq 0$ and $\delta \in G$, $H_{\mathfrak{b}}^i(S)_\delta$ is a finitely generated A -module. Then, if A is Noetherian, for $i \geq 0$, there exists a subset $\Lambda_i \subseteq G$ such that*

- (1) $H_{\mathfrak{b}}^i(MI_1^{t_1} \cdots I_s^{t_s})_{\eta+t_1\gamma_1+\cdots+t_s\gamma_s} \neq 0$ for $t = (t_1, \dots, t_s) \gg 0$ if and only if $\eta \in \Lambda_i$.
- (2) If, furthermore, $A \rightarrow k$ is a ring homomorphism to a field k , then for any δ and any j , the function

$$\dim_k \operatorname{Tor}_j^A(H_{\mathfrak{b}}^i(MI_1^{t_1} \cdots I_s^{t_s})_{\delta+t_1\gamma_1+\cdots+t_s\gamma_s}, k)$$

is a polynomial in the t_i s for $t \gg 0$.

Proof. Since taking local cohomology respects the G -homogeneous degree, we have

$$H_{\mathfrak{b}}^i(MI^t)_{\delta+\gamma.t} = H_{\mathfrak{b}R}^i(M\mathcal{R})_{(\delta,t)} = (H_{\mathfrak{b}R}^i(M\mathcal{R})_{(\delta,*)})_t.$$

Let $B = A[T_{i,j}, 1 \leq i \leq s, 1 \leq j \leq r_i]$. Since B is a flat extension of A , $H_{\mathfrak{b}R}^i(R)_{(\delta,*)} = H_{\mathfrak{b}R}^i(B \otimes_A S)_{(\delta,*)}$ is a finitely generated B -module. Let \mathbb{F}_\bullet be the minimal $G \times \mathbb{Z}^s$ -graded free resolution of $M\mathcal{R}$ over R . Since A is Noetherian, \mathbb{F}_\bullet is a finite resolution and each term \mathbb{F}_j of \mathbb{F}_\bullet is of finite rank. This implies that for all $\delta \in G$, $i \geq 0$ and $j \geq 0$, $H_{\mathfrak{b}R}^i(\mathbb{F}_j)_{(\delta,*)}$ is a finitely generated B -module. The spectral sequence $H_{\mathfrak{b}R}^i(\mathbb{F}_j) \Rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{b}R}^{i-j}(M\mathcal{R})$ implies that $H_{\mathfrak{b}R}^i(M\mathcal{R})_{(\delta,*)}$ is a finitely generated multigraded B -module. This proves (2) in view of [11, Theorem 1].

Notice that $H_{\mathfrak{b}R}^i(M\mathcal{R})_{(\delta,t)} = 0$ for all $t \gg 0$ if and only if $K_\delta = H_{\mathfrak{b}R}^i(M\mathcal{R})_{(\delta,*)}$ is annihilated by a power of the ideal $\mathfrak{a} := \bigcap_{i=1}^s (T_{i,1}, \dots, T_{i,r_i})$. Hence (1) holds with

$$\Lambda_i := \{\delta \in G \mid K_\delta \neq H_{\mathfrak{a}}^0(K_\delta)\}.$$

\square

4. FORMS OF ARBITRARY DEGREES

This section is devoted to proving our main result in its full generality, when the ideals I_i s are generated in arbitrary degrees. We start by recalling the notion of a Stanley decomposition of multigraded modules.

Definition 4.1. Let \mathbb{G} be a finitely generated abelian group and let $B = A[T_1, \dots, T_r]$ be a \mathbb{G} -graded polynomial ring over a commutative ring A . Let M be a finitely generated \mathbb{G} -graded B -module. A *Stanley decomposition* of M is a finite decomposition of the form

$$M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m u_i A[Z_i],$$

where the direct sum is as A -modules, u_i s are \mathbb{G} -homogeneous elements in M , Z_i s are subsets (could be empty) of the variables $\{T_1, \dots, T_r\}$, and $u_i A[Z_i]$ denotes the A -submodule of M generated by elements of the form $u_i m$ where m is a monomial in the polynomial ring $A[Z_i]$.

The following lemma is well known in \mathbb{N} -graded or standard \mathbb{N}^n -graded situations (cf. [2, 3, 10]).

Lemma 4.2. *Let \mathbb{G} be a finitely generated abelian group and let $B = A[T_1, \dots, T_r]$ be a \mathbb{G} -graded polynomial ring. Let I be a monomial ideal in B . Then a Stanley decomposition of B/I exists.*

Proof. The proof follows along the same lines as in the proof of [10, Corollary 6.4] or [2, Theorem 2.1], as one notices that any monomial is a homogeneous element. \square

Theorem 4.3. *Let \mathbb{G} be a finitely generated abelian group, $B = A[T_1, \dots, T_r]$ be a \mathbb{G} -graded polynomial ring over a commutative ring A and \mathbb{M} be a finitely generated \mathbb{G} -graded B -module. Let Γ denote the set of subsets of $\{\deg_{\mathbb{G}}(T_i)\}_{i=1}^r$ whose elements are linearly independent over \mathbb{Z} . Then there exist a collection of pairs $(\delta_p, E_p) \in \mathbb{G} \times \Gamma$, for $p = 1, \dots, m$, such that*

$$\text{Supp}_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathbb{M}) = \bigcup_{p=1}^m (\delta_p + \langle E_p \rangle),$$

where $\langle E_p \rangle$ represents the free submonoid of \mathbb{G} generated by elements in E_p .

Proof. Since \mathbb{M} is a finitely generated \mathbb{G} -graded B -module, there exists a homogeneous surjective map $\phi : F \rightarrow \mathbb{M}$ from a free B -module F to \mathbb{M} . We can write $F = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m B e_i$, where $\deg_{\mathbb{G}}(e_i)$ represents the degree of the i -th generator of M . Let $K = \ker \phi$. Then $\mathbb{M} \simeq F/K$. In particular, $\text{Supp}_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathbb{M}) = \text{Supp}_{\mathbb{G}}(F/K)$.

Extend any monomial order on B to a monomial order on $F = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m B e_i$, by ordering the e_i 's. Since \mathbb{M} is \mathbb{G} -graded, so is K . Thus, by Proposition 2.7, we have $\text{Supp}_{\mathbb{G}}(F/K) = \text{Supp}_{\mathbb{G}}(F/\text{in}_{\prec}(K))$. Therefore,

$$\text{Supp}_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathbb{M}) = \text{Supp}_{\mathbb{G}}(F/\text{in}_{\prec}(K)).$$

Observe that $\text{in}_{\prec}(K)$ is generated by monomials of the form $\mathbf{T}^{\alpha} e_i$ (where $\mathbf{T}^{\alpha} = T_1^{\alpha_1} \dots T_r^{\alpha_r}$ for $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r$). Let I_i be the monomial ideal in B generated by all monomials \mathbf{T}^{α} for which $\mathbf{T}^{\alpha} e_i \in \text{in}_{\prec}(K)$. Clearly, $F/\text{in}_{\prec}(K) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \frac{B}{I_i} e_i$.

By Lemma 4.2, for each $i = 1, \dots, m$, there exists a Stanley decomposition of $\frac{B}{I_i} e_i$

$$\frac{B}{I_i} e_i \simeq \bigoplus_{j=1}^{m_i} u_{ij} A[T_{ij}],$$

where u_{ij} are homogeneous elements of $\frac{B}{I_i}e_i$ and T_{ij} are subsets (could be empty) of the variables $\{T_1, \dots, T_r\}$ in B . This gives

$$F/\text{in}_{\prec}(L) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \bigoplus_{j=1}^{m_i} u_{ij} A[T_{ij}].$$

Thus, $\text{Supp}_{\mathbb{G}}(F/\text{in}_{\prec}(L))$ can be written as a finite union of the form

$$\text{Supp}_{\mathbb{G}}(F/\text{in}_{\prec}(L)) = \bigcup_{i,j} \text{Supp}_{\mathbb{G}}(u_{ij} A[T_{ij}]).$$

Let $\delta_{ij} = \deg_{\mathbb{G}}(u_{ij})$. Then

$$\text{Supp}_{\mathbb{G}}(F/\text{in}_{\prec}(L)) = \bigcup_{i,j} (\delta_{ij} + \text{Supp}_{\mathbb{G}}(A[T_{ij}])).$$

To prove the theorem, it now suffices to show that $\text{Supp}_{\mathbb{G}}(A[T_{ij}])$ can be decomposed into a union of free submonoids of \mathbb{G} of the form $\langle E \rangle$, where E is a linearly independent subset in Γ .

Since $A[T_{ij}]$ is a polynomial ring whose variables are variables of B , without loss of generality, we may assume that $T_{ij} = \{T_1, \dots, T_r\}$, i.e., $A[T_{ij}] = B$. Let H be the binomial ideal in B generated by $\{\mathbf{T}^\alpha - \mathbf{T}^\beta \mid \deg_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathbf{T}^\alpha) = \deg_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathbf{T}^\beta)\}$. Then taking the quotient B/H is the same as identifying monomials of the same degree in B . Thus, we have

$$(4.1) \quad \text{Supp}_{\mathbb{G}}(B) = \text{Supp}_{\mathbb{G}}(B/H) \text{ and } \left(\frac{B}{H}\right)_{\gamma} = \begin{cases} A & \text{if } \gamma \in \text{Supp}_{\mathbb{G}}(B/H) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 4.2, a Stanley decomposition of B/H exists. That is, we can write

$$B/H = \bigoplus_{j=1}^s u_j A[Z_j]$$

where u_j are \mathbb{G} -homogeneous elements of B/H and Z_j are subsets (could be empty) of the variables $\{T_1, \dots, T_r\}$. Let E_j be the set of degrees of variables in Z_j . It further follows from (4.1) that B/H has at most one monomial in each degree. This implies that the support of $u_j A[Z_j]$ are all disjoint and each set E_j is linearly independent. Hence, by letting $\sigma_j = \deg_{\mathbb{G}}(u_j)$, we have

$$\text{Supp}_{\mathbb{G}}(B/H) = \prod_{j=1}^s (\sigma_j + \langle E_j \rangle).$$

The theorem is proved. □

Remark 4.4. It would be nice if the union in Theorem 4.3 is a disjoint union. However, this is not true. Let $B = A[x, y]$ be a \mathbb{Z} -graded polynomial ring with $\deg(x) = 4$ and $\deg(y) = 7$ (hence, $\Gamma = \{4, 7\}$). Let $\mathbb{M} = B/(x) \oplus B/(y) \simeq A[y] \oplus A[x]$. Then $\text{Supp}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{M}) = \{4a + 7b \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$. Moreover, linearly independent subsets of Γ are $\{4\}$ and $\{7\}$. It can

be easily seen that $\text{Supp}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{M})$ cannot be written as disjoint union of shifted free submonoids of \mathbb{Z} generated by 4 and/or by 7.

For a vector $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_s) \in \mathbb{Z}^s$ and a tuple $E = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_s)$ of elements in G , we shall denote ΔE the empty tuple if $s \leq 1$ and the $(s-1)$ -tuple $(\nu_2 - \nu_1, \dots, \nu_s - \nu_{s-1})$ else, and by $\mathbf{c}.E$ the G -degree $\sum_{j=1}^s c_j \nu_j$. If E and E' are tuples, we denote by $E|E'$ the concatenation of E and E' .

Remark 4.5. With some simple linear algebra arguments, it can be seen that for tuples E_1, \dots, E_s of elements of G , the tuple of elements of $G \times \mathbb{Z}^s$, $E_1 \times \{e_1\} | \dots | E_s \times \{e_s\}$, where e_i is the i -th basis element of \mathbb{Z}^s , is linearly independent if and only if $\Delta E_1 | \dots | \Delta E_s$ is linearly independent. These equivalent conditions imply that for $(\mathbf{c}_1, \dots, \mathbf{c}_s) \neq (\mathbf{c}'_1, \dots, \mathbf{c}'_s)$, with $\mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{c}'_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{|\Delta E_i|}$ and $|\mathbf{c}_i| = |\mathbf{c}'_i|$ for all i , one has $\mathbf{c}_1.E_1 + \dots + \mathbf{c}_s.E_s \neq \mathbf{c}'_1.E_1 + \dots + \mathbf{c}'_s.E_s$. This last fact is a direct corollary of the linear independence of $E_1 \times \{e_1\} | \dots | E_s \times \{e_s\}$, as $\mathbf{c}_1.(E_1 \times \{e_1\}) + \dots + \mathbf{c}_s.(E_s \times \{e_s\}) = (\mathbf{c}_1.E_1 + \dots + \mathbf{c}_s.E_s) \times (|\mathbf{c}_1|e_1 + \dots + |\mathbf{c}_s|e_s)$.

We shall now prove our main result. Recall that $I_i = (f_{i,1}, \dots, f_{i,r_i})$ and let $\gamma_{i,j} = \deg_G(f_{i,j})$.

Theorem 4.6. *Let G be a finitely generated abelian group and let $S = A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a G -graded polynomial ring over a commutative ring A . Let $I_i = (f_{i,1}, \dots, f_{i,r_i})$ for $i = 1, \dots, s$ be G -homogeneous ideals in S , and let M be a finitely generated G -graded S -module. Set $\Gamma_i = \{\deg_G(f_{i,j})\}_{j=1}^{r_i}$. Let $\ell \geq 0$ and assume that $\ell = 0$ or A is Noetherian.*

There exist a finite collection of elements $\delta_p^\ell \in G$, a finite collection of integers $t_{p,i}^\ell$, and a finite collection of non-empty tuples $E_{p,i}^\ell \subseteq \Gamma_i$, such that $\Delta E_{p,1}^\ell | \dots | \Delta E_{p,s}^\ell$ is linearly independent for all p , satisfying :

$$\text{Supp}_G(\text{Tor}_\ell^S(MI_1^{t_1} \dots I_s^{t_s}, A)) = \bigcup_{p=1}^m (\delta_p^\ell + \bigcup_{\substack{\mathbf{c}_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{|\Delta E_{p,i}^\ell|}, \\ |\mathbf{c}_i| = t_i - t_{p,i}^\ell}} \mathbf{c}_1.E_{p,1}^\ell + \dots + \mathbf{c}_s.E_{p,s}^\ell),$$

if $t_i \geq \max_p \{t_{p,i}^\ell\}$ for all i .

Proof. As before, we use t to denote $(t_1, \dots, t_s) \in \mathbb{Z}^s$, and let $\mathcal{R} := \bigoplus_{t \geq 0} I^t T^t$ and $M\mathcal{R} := \bigoplus_{t \geq 0} MI^t T^t$. Consider $R = A[x_1, \dots, x_n][T_{i,j}, 1 \leq i \leq s, 1 \leq j \leq r_i]$, the $G \times \mathbb{Z}^s$ -graded polynomial ring over A with $\deg_{G \times \mathbb{Z}^s}(x_i) = (\deg_G(x_i), 0)$ and $\deg_{G \times \mathbb{Z}^s}(T_{i,j}) = (\deg_G(f_{i,j}), e_i)$, where e_i denotes the i -th canonical generator of \mathbb{Z}^s . The natural surjective map $\phi : R \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{R}$ that sends x_i to x_i and $T_{i,j}$ to $f_{i,j}T_i$ makes $M\mathcal{R}$ a finitely generated $G \times \mathbb{Z}^s$ -graded module over R .

Let \mathbb{F}_\bullet be a $G \times \mathbb{Z}^s$ -graded free resolution of $M\mathcal{R}$ over R . If A is Noetherian, then each \mathbb{F}_i can be chosen of finite rank, and we make such a choice. For $t \in \mathbb{Z}^s$, the degree $(*, t)$ -strand

\mathbb{F}_\bullet^t of \mathbb{F}_\bullet provides a G -graded free resolution of MI^t over $S = R_{(*,0)}$. Thus,

$$\mathrm{Tor}_i^S(MI^t, A) = H_i(\mathbb{F}_\bullet^t \otimes_S A).$$

Moreover, taking homology respects the graded structure, and therefore,

$$H_i(\mathbb{F}_\bullet^t \otimes_S A) = H_i(\mathbb{F}_\bullet \otimes_R R/\mathfrak{m}R)_{(*,t)},$$

where $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is the homogeneous irrelevant ideal in S .

Let $\Gamma' = \{(\gamma_{i,j}, e_i) \in G \times \mathbb{Z}^s\} = \coprod_i \Gamma_i \times \{e_i\}$ be the set of degrees of the variables $T_{i,j}$. Observe that $H_i(\mathbb{F}_\bullet \otimes_R R/\mathfrak{m}R)$ is a finitely generated $G \times \mathbb{Z}^s$ -graded module over $R/\mathfrak{m}R \simeq B$ for any i if A is Noetherian, and for $i = 0$ in any case. Applying Theorem 4.3 to the $G \times \mathbb{Z}^s$ -graded module $H_i(\mathbb{F}_\bullet \otimes_R R/\mathfrak{m}R)$ we obtain a finite collection of elements $\theta_p^\ell \in G \times \mathbb{Z}^s$ and a finite collection of linearly independent subsets $E_p^\ell \subseteq \Gamma'$ (which we view in a fixed order as tuples), for $p = 1, \dots, m$, such that

$$\mathrm{Supp}_{G \times \mathbb{Z}^s}(H_i(\mathbb{F}_\bullet \otimes_R R/\mathfrak{m}R)) = \bigcup_{p=1}^m (\theta_p^\ell + \langle E_p^\ell \rangle).$$

Let $\theta_p^\ell = (\delta_p^\ell, t_{1,p}^\ell, \dots, t_{s,p}^\ell)$, where $\delta_p^\ell \in G$ and $t_{i,p}^\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$. One has $E_p^\ell = \coprod_{i=1}^s E_{p,i}^\ell \times \{e_i\}$. The linear independence of the elements in E_p^ℓ is equivalent to the fact that the elements of $\Delta E_{p,1}^\ell | \dots | \Delta E_{p,s}^\ell$ are linearly independent.

Taking the degree $(*, t)$ -strand of $H_i(\mathbb{F}_\bullet \otimes_R R/\mathfrak{m}R)$, we get

$$\mathrm{Supp}_G(\mathrm{Tor}_\ell^S(MI_1^{t_1} \cdots I_s^{t_s}, A)) = \bigcup_{p=1}^m (\delta_p^\ell + \bigcup_{\substack{\mathbf{c}_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{|E_{p,i}^\ell|}, \\ |\mathbf{c}_i| = t_i - t_{p,i}^\ell}} \mathbf{c}_1 \cdot E_{p,1}^\ell + \cdots + \mathbf{c}_s \cdot E_{p,s}^\ell).$$

□

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Berlekamp. *Regularity defect stabilization of powers of an ideal*. Preprint. [arXiv:1105.2260](#).
- [2] K. Baclawski and A.M. Garsia. *Combinatorial decompositions of a class of rings*. Adv. in Math. **39** (1981), 155-184.
- [3] W. Bruns, C. Krattenthaler, and J. Uliczka. *Stanley decompositions and Hilbert depth in the Koszul complex*. J. Commutative Algebra, **2** (2010), no. 3, 327-357.
- [4] M. Chardin. *Powers of ideals and the cohomology of stalks and fibers of morphisms*. Preprint. [arXiv:1009.1271](#).
- [5] S.D. Cutkosky, J. Herzog, and N.V. Trung. *Asymptotic behaviour of the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity*. Composito Mathematica, **118** (1999), 243-261.
- [6] D. Eisenbud. *Commutative Algebra: with a View Toward Algebraic Geometry*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [7] D. Eisenbud and J. Harris. *Powers of ideals and fibers of morphisms*. Math. Res. Lett. **17** (2010), no. 2, 267-273.
- [8] D. Eisenbud and B. Ulrich. *Stabilization of the regularity of powers of an ideal*. Preprint. [arXiv:1012.0951](#).

- [9] H.T. Hà. *Asymptotic linearity of regularity and a^* -invariant of powers of ideals*. Math. Res. Lett. **18** (2011), no. 1, 1-9.
- [10] J. Herzog and D. Popescu. *Finite filtrations of modules and shellable multicomplexes*. Manuscripta Math., **121** (2006), no. 3, 385-410.
- [11] V. Kodiyalam. *Homological invariants of powers of an ideal*. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, **118**, no. 3, (1993), 757-764.
- [12] V. Kodiyalam. *Asymptotic behaviour of Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity*. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, **128**, no. 2, (1999), 407-411.
- [13] H. Matsumura. *Commutative Ring Theory*. Cambridge, 1986.
- [14] N.V. Trung and H. Wang. *On the asymptotic behavior of Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity*. J. Pure Appl. Algebra, **201** (2005), no. 1-3, 42-48.
- [15] G. Whieldon. *Stabilization of Betti tables*. Preprint. [arXiv:1106.2355](https://arxiv.org/abs/1106.2355).

INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE JUSSIEU, UPMC, BOITE 247, 4, PLACE JUSSIEU, F-75252 PARIS CEDEX, FRANCE

E-mail address: bagheri@math.jussieu.fr

INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE JUSSIEU, UPMC, BOITE 247, 4, PLACE JUSSIEU, F-75252 PARIS CEDEX, FRANCE, [HTTP://PEOPLE.MATH.JUSSIEU.FR/~CHARDIN](http://people.math.jussieu.fr/~chardin)

E-mail address: chardin@math.jussieu.fr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TULANE UNIVERSITY, 6823 ST. CHARLES AVE., NEW ORLEANS, LA 70118, USA, [WWW.MATH.TULANE.EDU/~TAI/](http://www.math.tulane.edu/~tai/)

E-mail address: tai@math.tulane.edu